## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JENNY DUBERG,	
	CASE NO. 3:11-cv-06222-TEH
Plaintiff(s),	
V. DAWN FOOD PRODUCTS AKA DAWN BAKERY SERVICE CENTER,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:	
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADR I Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)  ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)  (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlem appreciably more likely to meet their needs than ADR phone conference and may not file this for	(ADR L.R. 5)  tent conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR, must participate in an
ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 1	•
Private Process:  Private ADR (please identify process and provider)	
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dereferring the case to an ADR pro-	eadline is 90 days from the date of the order ocess unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requested deadline August 2	2012
Data de Amil 12, 2012	/s Steve L. Hernandez
Dated: April 13, 2012	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: April 13, 2012	/s Richard M. Rogers Attorney for Defendant

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

X Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other August 31, 2012

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 05/07/2012

